



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

|               |             |                       |                     |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
| 08/456,229    | 05/31/1995  | HARRISON ET AL.       | RD-23.530           |

General Electric Company  
C&D Patent Docket Rm 4A 59  
PO Box 8 Bldg K1 Salamone  
Schenectady NY 12301

|            |              |
|------------|--------------|
| EXAMINER   |              |
| TAN NGUYEN |              |
| ART UNIT   | PAPER NUMBER |
| 2364       | 9            |

DATE MAILED:

## EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- AS  
(1) MARVIN SNYDER (applicant's representative) TAN NGUYEN  
(2) DANIEL HARRISON (Inventor) (4)

Date of interview 08/12/1997

Type:  Telephonic  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No. If yes, brief description:Agreement  was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question.  was not reached.

Claims discussed: 1, 3, 4

Identification of prior art discussed: BROWN ET AL. (5,225,842)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: claims 1, 3, 4 and the Brown et al. reference have been discussed. The invention has been explained in more detail. Applicant's representative and Inventor agree to put more detail about propagation time and in these claims. Examiner agree to reconsider the application in light of the discussion.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Examiner's Signature